Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/854,492	WOLFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Pyzocha	2137
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Michael Pyzocha</u> .	(3) <u>Kevin Zilka</u> .	
(2) <u>Christopher Revak</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 March 2007	Time: <u>1:00 PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 23, and 67		
Prior art documents discussed: McAfee.com: Virus Information Library		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	EMA Supervisa	MANUEL E. MOISE DRY PATENT EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed how the above reference applied to the claimed invention. Also discussed ammendments to overcome this prior art. Agreement was reached if no references were found to incorporate limitations of claim 67 into the independent claims as an examiner's answer.